Annals of Oncology 24: 433–441, 2013 doi:10.1093/annonc/mds340 Published online 11 September 2012

## Postmenopausal hormone therapy and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a pooled analysis of InterLymph case-control studies

E. V. Kane<sup>1,\*</sup>, L. Bernstein<sup>2</sup>, P. M. Bracci<sup>3</sup>, J. R. Cerhan<sup>4</sup>, L. Costas<sup>5</sup>, L. Dal Maso<sup>6</sup>, E. A. Holly<sup>3</sup>, C. La Vecchia<sup>7</sup>, K. Matsuo<sup>8</sup>, S. Sanjose<sup>5</sup>, J. J. Spinelli<sup>9</sup>, S. S. Wang<sup>2</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>10</sup>, T. Zheng<sup>10</sup>, E. Roman<sup>1</sup> & A. Kricker<sup>11</sup> for the InterLymph Consortium

<sup>1</sup>Epidemiology and Genetics Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK; <sup>2</sup>Department of Population Sciences, Division of Cancer Etiology, City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte; <sup>3</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco; <sup>4</sup>Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, USA; <sup>5</sup>Unit of Infections and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, IDIBELL, CIBERESP, Catalan Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>6</sup>Epidemiology and Biostatistics Unit, Aviano Cancer Centre, Aviano; <sup>7</sup>Department of Occupational Medicine, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche 'Mario Negri', Universitá degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy; <sup>8</sup>Division of Epidemiology and Prevention, Aichi Cancer Center, Nagoya, Japan; <sup>9</sup>Cancer Control Research, BC Cancer Agency Research Center, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; <sup>10</sup>School of Public Health, Yale University, New Haven, USA; <sup>11</sup>Sydney School of Public Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

Received 16 May 2012; revised 4 July 2012; accepted 12 July 2012

**Background:** Non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) subtypes, diffuse large B-cell (DLBCL) and follicular lymphoma (FL) have different sex ratios and are diagnosed at ages over 60 years; DLBCL is more common in men and diagnosed at older ages than FL, which occurs more among women. This analysis of postmenopausal women examines the relationship between postmenopausal hormone therapy and NHL.

**Design:** Self-reported use of postmenopausal hormone therapy from 2094 postmenopausal women with NHL and 2731 without were pooled across nine case–control studies (1983–2005) from North America, Europe and Japan. Study-specific odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) estimated using logistic regression were pooled using random-effects meta-analyses.

**Results:** Postmenopausal women who used hormone therapy were at decreased risk of NHL (pooled OR = 0.79, 95% Cl 0.69–0.90). Risks were reduced when the age of starting was 50 years or older. There was no clear trend with number of years of use. Current users were at decreased risk while those stopping over 2 years before diagnosis were not. Having a hysterectomy or not did not affect the risk. Favourable effects were present for DLBCL (pooled OR = 0.66, 95% Cl 0.54–0.80) and FL (pooled OR = 0.82, 95% Cl 0.66–1.01).

**Conclusion:** Postmenopausal hormone therapy, particularly used close to menopause, is associated with a decreased risk of NHL.

Key words: case-control studies, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma, menopausal hormone therapy, non-Hodgkin lymphoma

#### introduction

Among non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL), different sex ratios are seen for the two most common subtypes, with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) occurring among more men and follicular lymphoma (FL) among more women [1]. NHLs are also typically diagnosed at older ages and the median age at diagnosis is 70 years for DLBCL and 64 years for FL. Little is known of the causes of NHL, but factors which alter immune function such as sex hormones are thought to be involved [2, 3].

Hormone therapy for menopause has been widely studied with respect to women's health [4]. For postmenopausal women, there has been some suggestion that the current use of hormone therapy may be associated with a reduced risk of NHL [5, 6], although on the whole, no association either way has been reported and findings to date are inconclusive [5–17]. Because of the known associations with breast and endometrial cancers and cardiovascular disease, postmenopausal hormone therapy should not be used to prevent disease, nevertheless studying its relationship with NHL could inform NHL aetiology. To investigate whether there is an association

© The Author 2012. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Dr E. Kane, Epidemiology and Genetics Unit, Department of Health Sciences, Seebohm Rowntree Building, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK. Tel: +44-0190-432-1892; Fax: +44-0190-432-1899; E-mail: eleanor.kane@egu.york.ac.uk

between menopausal hormone therapy and NHL, we conducted a pooled analysis of case–control studies which are involved in the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium (InterLymph).

## materials and methods

Information on postmenopausal hormonal therapy was collected in nine case–control studies involved in the InterLymph Consortium. Study design details have been published [8, 10, 13, 15, 18–22] (supplementary Table S1, available at *Annals of Oncology* online) and for five studies, postmenopausal hormonal therapy data have been described [8, 10, 13, 15, 18]. Cases were identified using rapid ascertainment techniques and lymphoma diagnoses confirmed using pathologic review of reports or samples by pathologists. Controls were randomly selected from population registers or hospital or clinic patients, and were matched to cases on age and ethnicity. Each study was approved by the appropriate ethical committees and participants gave their informed consent. The studies were conducted in parts of USA, Canada, England, Italy and Japan. Six studies collected data in the late 1990s to early 2000s and three during the 1980s to early 1990s.

Lymphoma diagnoses were classified according to the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology third edition (ICD-O-3) (Mayo, British Columbia, UK and HERPACC2 studies), or the Working Formulation (Connecticut, UCSF, Los Angeles and Northern Italy studies). Methods to translate other coding schemes to ICD-O-3 have been described, and NHL subtypes were consistent with other InterLymph pooled analyses [23]. B-cell subtypes were DLBCL (ICD-O-3 codes 9679/3, 9680/3, 9684/3), FL (9690/3, 9691/3, 9695/3, 9698/3), chronic lymphocytic leukaemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (9670/3, 9823/3), marginal zone lymphoma (9689/3, 9699/3), mantle cell lymphoma (9673/3), Burkitt lymphoma (9687/3, 9826/3) and other unspecified B-cell lymphoma (9671/ 3, 9728/3). T-cell lymphomas were grouped as a whole (9700/3, 9701/3, 9702/3, 9705/3, 9708/3, 9709/3, 9714/3, 9716/3, 9717/3, 9718/3, 9719/3, 9729/3, 9827/3). NHL was defined by the above ICD-O-3 codes as well as 9591/3, 9675/3 and 9727/3. Cases with HIV-associated lymphoma or multiple myeloma were excluded from the pooled dataset because most studies did not recruit these cases.

Women were asked about their use of postmenopausal hormonal therapies through in-person or telephone interviews, or self-completed questionnaires. Women were asked whether they had used postmenopausal hormone therapy, the age at which they started and stopped using it, and the number of years therapy was taken. Analyses were restricted to postmenopausal women, defined as women who had reported that their periods had stopped, or if this information was missing, cases who were aged 55 or older at diagnosis or interview date for controls. For most studies, it is not known whether menopause was surgically induced, as information on bilateral oopherectomy was only collected in two studies (Mayo, Los Angeles). Data on hormone therapy was censored at 1 year before diagnosis or interview date. Since hormone therapy formulation was only collected in the UCSF study [10], hysterectomy was used as a surrogate. Women who had undergone a hysterectomy from the late 1980s onwards were more likely to have been prescribed oestrogen-only preparations, while women with an intact uterus were more likely to have been prescribed combined oestrogen-progestogen therapy [4]. Potential confounders included body mass index (BMI) and socioeconomic status. BMI was calculated from self-reported height and weight, where weight was before (Mayo, British Columbia, North Italy, Italy, UK) or at (HERPACC2) diagnosis/interview, or was the usual adult weight (Connecticut, UCSF). Socioeconomic status was derived from educational attainment (Mayo, UCSF, Los Angeles, North Italy and Italy), income level (British Columbia)

or census data (UK). Four studies had no data on hysterectomy (Connecticut, British Columbia, North Italy and Italy), one had no BMI data (Los Angeles) and two no socioeconomic status information (Connecticut and HERPACC2).

An anonymized dataset was supplied for each study and was checked for inconsistencies before harmonizing variables across studies. Analysis was conducted using a two-step process using Stata/IC 11.1 for Windows (StataCorp LP, Texas, 2010). First, study-specific odds ratios (OR) and confidence intervals (CI) were estimated by logistic regression adjusted for age as a continuous variable and ethnicity grouped as Caucasian or other as potential confounders. Where cell frequencies were five or less, risk estimates were computed by exact methods, with a half added to all cell frequencies if there were no cases or controls. The second step was to pool the study-specific ORs in a meta-analysis. Fixed-effects meta-analysis was used where study-specific risk estimates were homogeneous, while a random-effects model was used when heterogeneity was present. Heterogeneity was tested using Cochrane's Q test, significant at Pheterogeneity < 0.10, and the amount of heterogeneity was described by the  $I^2$  statistic. Pooled risk estimates for trend were estimated by pooling the study-specific ORs for trend and were based on categorical data. To examine whether findings were consistent, sensitivity analyses were conducted stratified by factors such as study design and participation rates, and excluding ORs that were computed using exact methods.

#### results

Among the nine InterLymph studies, 2094 case and 2731 control women were considered postmenopausal (Table 1). The most common diagnoses were lymphomas of B-cell origin (81%), notably DLBCL (32%) and FL (25%) (Table 1). T-cell lymphoma and other B-cell subtypes such as chronic lymphocytic leukaemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma and marginal zone lymphoma accounted for <10% of cases, and NHL subtype was not known for 16%. Most women were Caucasian (90%), had gone through the menopause around the age of 47 and the median age at diagnosis was 64 years. Cases were more likely to be Caucasian, of slightly younger age, of lower socioeconomic status and to be overweight or more obese than controls; similar proportions of cases and controls had had a hysterectomy. Across studies, 37% of cases and 41% of controls reported using hormone therapy for their menopausal symptoms.

Postmenopausal women who had used hormone therapy were at decreased risk of NHL overall (pooled risk estimate = 0.79, 95% CI 0.69–0.90) compared with never users (Table 2). Inverse associations were strongest when use started at older ages (ages 50 and 54 years: pooled risk estimate = 0.74, 95% CI 0.61–0.91; aged  $\geq$ 55 years: pooled risk estimate = 0.78, 95% CI 0.62-0.98). Regardless of the years hormonal therapy was used for, risks were below one, but there was no clear trend in risk. Current users were at decreased risk (pooled risk estimate = 0.70, 95% CI 0.54-0.91) while women who stopped in the 5 years before diagnosis or longer ago were not. Heterogeneity in study-specific risks among current users was moderate ( $I^2 =$ 40%) and influence analyses found that the pooled risk remained below one when each study was excluded in turn (pooled risk estimate ranged from 0.62, 95% CI 0.51-0.75,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.64$  to 0.77, 95% CI 0.57–1.03,  $I^2 = 31\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.21$ ). Postmenopausal hormone therapy reduced the risks of DLBCL and FL (pooled OR = 0.66, 95% CI 0.54-0.80; pooled OR = 0.82, 95% CI 0.66-1.01, respectively), but not

**Table 1.** Characteristics of postmenopausal women included in the pooled analysis

	Cases	Controls
	N (%)	N (%)
NHL subtype	2094 (100)	-
Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma	675 (32)	-
Follicular lymphoma	531 (25)	-
Other B-cell lymphoma	480 (23)	-
T-cell lymphoma	83 (4)	-
Unclassified	325 (16)	-
Age (years)	2094 (100)	2731 (100)
≤55	358 (17)	405 (15)
56–65	791 (38)	1036 (38)
>65	945 (45)	1290 (47)
Socioeconomic status	1463 (100)	1956 (100)
High	328 (22)	501 (26)
Medium	475 (32)	691 (35)
Low	655 (45)	758 (39)
Not known	5 (0.3)	6 (0.3)
Body mass index	1984 (100)	2627 (100)
Underweight (<18.5 kg m <sup>-2</sup> )	36 (2)	86 (3)
Normal (18.5–24.99 kg m <sup>-2</sup> )	1014 (51)	1420 (54)
Overweight $(25-29.99 \text{ kg m}^{-2})$	597 (30)	751 (29)
Obese ( $\geq$ 30 kg m <sup>-2</sup> )	312 (16)	340 (13)
Not known	25 (1)	30 (1)
Hysterectomy	1098 (100)	1512 (100)
No	712 (65)	1016 (67)
Yes	373 (34)	477 (32)
Not known	13 (1)	19 (1)

Socioeconomic status data were collected in seven studies (Mayo, UCSF, Los Angeles, British Columbia, UK, North Italy and Italy), body mass index data in eight studies (Connecticut, Mayo, UCSF, British Columbia, UK, North Italy, Italy and HERPACC2) and hysterectomy data in five studies (Mayo, UCSF, Los Angeles, UK and HERPACC2). NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, marginal zone lymphoma, mantle cell lymphoma or T-cell lymphoma (pooled OR = 1.24, 95% CI 0.80–1.93; pooled OR = 0.88, 95% CI 0.56–1.40; pooled OR = 1.28, 95% CI 0.66–2.48; pooled OR = 0.86, 95% CI 0.52–1.41 respectively). Associations between hormone therapy, NHL, DLBCL and FL were consistent across studies and study-specific ORs tended to be below one (supplementary Figure S1, available at *Annals of Oncology* online).

Five studies asked women whether they had had a hysterectomy and the pooled risk estimate for using postmenopausal hormone therapy in these five studies was similar to that for all nine studies (Table 3). For NHL overall, there was no difference in the risk associated with hormone therapy by whether women had had a hysterectomy (pooled risk estimate = 0.78, 95% CI 0.55-1.10) or not (pooled risk estimate = 0.78, 95% CI 0.58-1.03). Statistically significant decreased risks were found for DLBCL among women who had had a hysterectomy (pooled risk estimate = 0.48, 95% CI 0.30-0.77) and for FL among women who had not undergone this surgery (pooled risk estimate = 0.66, 95% CI 0.47-0.93). However, confidence limits for these subtype-specific risks overlapped with those in the other stratum.

## original articles

NHL risk associated with postmenopausal hormone therapy was reduced among women of normal weight (pooled risk estimate = 0.77, 95% CI 0.62–0.96) (Table 4). Similarly for DLBCL, but not FL, risks were decreased in women who were of normal weight (pooled risk estimate = 0.57, 95% CI 0.43–0.75) and not among women who were overweight (pooled risk estimate = 0.87, 95% CI 0.63–1.20).

### discussion

The nine studies from parts of North America, Europe and Japan suggested that postmenopausal women who used hormone therapy had a lower risk of NHL overall than those who had never used it. Current users were at decreased risk, but past users had risks comparable to those who had never taken hormonal therapy. Risks below one were found in all categories of duration of use and age when hormonal treatment started; no clear trends were found for either variable. Findings were similar when these analyses were restricted to current users. When hormones were started between the ages of 50-54, the decreased risk reached statistical significance. For the two most common subtypes, DLBCL and FL, findings were similar to NHL overall. Findings were consistent among population-, hospital- and clinic-based studies, in studies where case and control participation was over 70%, and when risk estimates were adjusted for socioeconomic status.

Other evidence for postmenopausal hormone therapy's role in NHL aetiology comes from five cohort [5, 7, 9, 11, 14] and two case-control studies [6, 17]. Among these further studies, one case-control study reported that hormonal therapy reduced the risk of NHL overall [6] but on the whole, cohort studies found risks to be around one. For DLBCL, two cohorts reported risks below one [5, 11] while two others found no association [7, 14]. As for FL, there has been less consistency, with one study reporting a risk below one [11], another close to one [5] and two raised [7], one of which was statistically important [14]. When we conducted a meta-analysis of cohort data, the results suggested no association with ever use for NHL, DLBCL or FL (pooled risk estimate = 1.01, 95% CI 0.89-1.14,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.49$ ; pooled risk estimate = 0.87, 95% CI 0.67–1.14,  $I^2 = 21\%$ ,  $P_{heterogeneity} = 0.28$ ; pooled risk estimate = 1.31, 95% CI 0.70–2.45,  $I^2 = 76\%$ ,  $P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.01$ , respectively). However, our protective finding was among women who were using hormonal therapy 1 year before diagnosis. Current users were also at reduced risks in one cohort [5] and a case-control study [6], but not two other cohort studies [7, 14] (Figure 1). Cohort studies would have collected information on hormonal therapy many years before diagnosis. Interestingly, studies with follow-up periods that were shorter and closer to menopausal age found risks for current users more similar to ours while for longer follow-up and further from menopause, risks were closer to one (Figure 1). One cohort reported a lower risk after 7 [24] than after 13 years of follow-up [14]. In cohort studies, information may not have been available as to whether women had stopped using hormone therapy since recruitment into the cohort. One possible explanation for differences between our study and the cohorts' findings is that in cohorts, women may have been

eneity

Hormone therapy <sup>a</sup>	Controls	NHL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^{2}$ (%)	$P_{\rm heterogeneity}$	DLBCL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^{2}$ (%)	$P_{\rm heterogeneity}$	FL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^{2}$ (%)	Pheterogen
Hormone therapy	No. of studies = 9															
Total <sup>c</sup>	2731	2094					675					531				
Never used	1584	1275	1	ref			439	1	ref			299	1	ref		
Ever used	1109	772	0.79	0.69-0.90	0	0.50	217	0.66	0.54-0.80	0	0.45	218	0.82	0.66-1.01	0	0.55
Age first used (years)	No. of studies = $8^d$															
Total <sup>c</sup>	2519	1987					637					501				
Never used	1395	1176	1	ref			405	1	ref			273	1	ref		
<45	244	188	0.88	0.71-1.10	0	0.64	51	0.72	0.51-1.02	0	0.60	57	1.01	0.72-1.43	0	0.49
45-49	259	193	0.84	0.68-1.05	0	0.57	59	0.77	0.55-1.06	0	0.85	54	0.86	0.62-1.21	0	0.91
50-54	322	209	0.74	0.61-0.91	0	0.59	54	0.58	0.42-0.80	0	0.93	63	0.82	0.60-1.12	0	0.70
≥55	235	156	0.78	0.62-0.98	0	0.65	43	0.70	0.48 - 1.01	0	0.62	35	0.73	0.45 - 1.18	22	0.25
Trend			0.92	0.88-0.96	0	0.44		0.87	0.81-0.94	0	0.50		0.92	0.85-0.99	8	0.37
Years used	No. of studies = 9															
Total <sup>c</sup>	2731	2094					675					531				
Never used	1584	1275	1	ref			439	1	ref			299	1	ref		
<2	242	160	0.78	0.62-0.98	0	0.81	53	0.73	0.52-1.02	0	0.46	39	0.78	0.52-1.16	4	0.40
2 to <5	231	180	0.90	0.72-1.13	0	0.69	51	0.81	0.57 - 1.14	0	0.72	52	0.97	0.69–1.37	0	0.87
5 to <10	238	152	0.72	0.57-0.91	0	0.80	37	0.59	0.40-0.86	0	0.44	41	0.73	0.51-1.06	0	0.61
≥10	363	258	0.86	0.65-1.13	35	0.14	72	0.79	0.52-1.20	32	0.16	79	0.99	0.73-1.34	0	0.53
Trend			0.94	0.90-0.98	7	0.38		0.91	0.83-1.00	35	0.14		0.98	0.90-1.06	24	0.23
Years since last used	No. of studies = $6^d$															
Total <sup>c</sup>	2035	1647					552					418				
Never used	1237	1050	1	ref			368	1	ref			246	1	ref		
>5	153	128	1.00	0.77-1.30	0	0.47	40	0.88	0.60-1.30	0	0.67	26	0.76	0.48-1.19	0	0.99
$\leq 5$	89	80	0.97	0.69-1.35	0	0.58	23	0.84	0.50 - 1.40	0	0.47	25	1.05	0.64-1.71	0	0.85
Current	504	331	0.70	0.54-0.90	40	0.14	100	0.57	0.44 - 0.74	0	0.54	103	0.76	0.58-0.99	0	0.46
Trend			0.89	0.82-0.97	44	0.11		0.83	0.77-0.91	0	0.49		0.92	0.84-1.00	0	0.46

Table 2. Associations between non-Hodgkin lymphoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma and postmenopausal hormonal therapy among postmenopausal women

<sup>a</sup>Hormone therapy among postmenopausal women where menopause was defined as the reporting of periods that stopped naturally or due to a bilateral oopherectomy, or, in the absence of these data, women

aged  ${\geq}55$  years were considered postmenopausal.

<sup>b</sup>Pooled ORs adjusted for age and ethnicity were estimated in meta-analysis using a random-effects model; pooled ORs and CIs were similar from a fixed-effects model where the amount of between-study variation in risk  $(I^2)$  was low.

<sup>c</sup>Frequencies do not sum to the total due to missing values.

<sup>d</sup>HERPACC2 did not collect age when hormone therapy was first used, and Mayo, Los Angeles and HERPACC2 did not collect age when hormone therapy was last used.

NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma.

Table 3. Associations between non-Hodgkin lymphoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma and postmenopausal hormonal therapy among postmenopausal women by hysterectomy status

Hormone therapy <sup>a</sup>	Controls	NHL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^2$	Pheterogeneity	DLBCL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^2$	Pheterogeneity	FL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^2$	Pheterogeneity
Studies with hystered	ctomy data <sup>c</sup>															
Total <sup>d</sup>	1512	1098					378					307				
Never used	696	539	1	ref			205	1	ref			150	1	ref		
Ever used	782	525	0.82	0.69-0.97	0	0.50	157	0.72	0.51-1.02	36	0.18	146	0.80	0.61-1.05	2	0.39
Missing	34	34					16					11				
No hysterectomy <sup>e</sup>																
Total <sup>d</sup>	1016	712					245					203				
Never used	579	434	1	ref			155	1	ref			129	1	ref		
Ever used	416	262	0.78	0.58-1.03	38	0.17	80	0.72	0.49 - 1.07	27	0.24	68	0.66	0.47-0.93	0	0.45
Missing	21	16					10					6				
Hysterectomy <sup>e</sup>																
Total <sup>d</sup>	477	373					130					101				
Never used	117	102	1	ref			50	1	ref			20	1	ref		
Ever used	353	255	0.78	0.55-1.10	0	0.80	74	0.48	0.30-0.77	0	0.87	77	1.28	0.45-3.63	50	0.09
Missing	7	16					6					4				

<sup>a</sup>Hormone therapy among postmenopausal women where menopause was defined as the reporting of periods that stopped naturally or due to a bilateral oopherectomy, or, in the absence of these data, women aged  $\geq$ 55 years were considered postmenopausal.

<sup>b</sup>Pooled ORs adjusted for age and ethnicity were estimated in meta-analysis using a random-effects model; pooled ORs and CIs were similar from a fixed-effects model where the amount of between-study variation in risk  $(I^2)$  was low.

<sup>c</sup>Hysterectomy data were collected in five studies (Mayo, UCSF, Los Angeles, UK and HERPACC2).

<sup>d</sup>Frequencies do not sum to the total due to missing values.

erequencies do not sum to the total due to missing values. erests for heterogeneity between risks among women who had had a hysterectomy and those who had not were not statistically significant (NHL:  $\chi^2 = 0.00$ , P = 0.99; DLBCL:  $\chi^2 = 1.90$ , P = 0.17; FL:  $\chi^2 = 1.56$ , P= 0.21).

NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma.

]	Hormone therapy <sup>a</sup>	Controls	NHL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^2$	$P_{\rm heterogeneity}$	DLBCL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^2$	$P_{\rm heterogeneity}$	FL	Pooled OR <sup>b</sup>	95% CI	$I^2$	Pheterogene
e	Studies with BMI dat	ta <sup>c</sup>															
	Total <sup>d</sup>	2627	1984					632					515				
	Never used	1540	1218	1	ref			413	1	ref			290	1	ref		
	Ever used	1049	719	0.80	0.70-0.91	0	0.45	200	0.68	0.55-0.83	1	0.42	211	0.83	0.67-1.03	0	0.55
	Missing	38	47					19					14				
]	Normal weight <sup>e</sup>																
	Total <sup>d</sup>	1506	1050					341					276				
	Never used	849	629	1	ref			223	1	ref			142	1	ref		
	Ever used	634	399	0.77	0.62-0.96	20	0.27	109	0.57	0.43-0.75	0	0.63	128	0.94	0.68-1.30	12	0.34
	Missing	23	22					9					6				
(	Overweight/obese <sup>e</sup>																
	Total <sup>d</sup>	1091	909					281					233				
	Never used	671	571	1	ref			184	1	ref			143	1	ref		
	Ever used	407	315	0.86	0.70-1.06	0	0.91	88	0.87	0.63-1.20	0	0.80	82	0.74	0.53-1.03	0	0.99
	Missing	13	23					9					8				

Table 4. Associations between non-Hodgkin lymphoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma and postmenopausal hormonal therapy among postmenopausal women by normal and overweight

<sup>a</sup>Hormone therapy among postmenopausal women where menopause was defined as the reporting of periods that stopped naturally or due to a bilateral oopherectomy, or, in the absence of these data, women aged  $\geq$ 55 years were considered postmenopausal.

<sup>b</sup>Pooled ORs adjusted for age and ethnicity were estimated in meta-analysis using a random-effects model; pooled ORs and CIs were similar from a fixed-effects model where the amount of between-study variation in risk  $(I^2)$  was low.

<sup>c</sup>Body mass index data were collected in eight studies (Connecticut, Mayo, UCSF, British Columbia, UK, North Italy, Italy and HERPACC2).

<sup>d</sup>Frequencies do not sum to the total due to missing values.

<sup>e</sup>Tests for heterogeneity between risks among women who were normal weight (BMI < 25 kg m<sup>-2</sup>) and those who were overweight or obese (BMI  $\ge$  25 kg m<sup>-2</sup>) were statistically significant for DLBCL ( $\chi^2 = 3.79$ , P = 0.05) but not for NHL or FL (NHL:  $\chi^2 = 0.78$ , P = 0.38; FL:  $\chi^2 = 0.97$ , P = 0.32).

NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma.

		Maximum	
	Mean Age at	Years of	Risk
Study(Reference)	Ascertainment (Range)	Follow-up	Estimate (95% CI)
NHL			
InterLymph(present study)	64 (22–88)	1	0.70 (0.54, 0.90)
Beiderbeck et al., 2003(6)	NR (20+)	1 + • •	0.53 (0.24, 1.20)
Cerhan et al., 1997(24)	62 (55-69)	6	0.87 (0.46, 1.64)
Morton et al., 2009(5)	63 (50-71)	7	0.85 (0.66, 1.07)
Lu et al., 2011(7)	63 (postmeno-<85)	12	1.02 (0.79, 1.31)
Cerhan et al., 2002(14)	62 (55–69)	12	1.40 (0.90, 2.00)
DLBCL			
InterLymph(present study)	64 (22-88)	a	0.57 (0.44, 0.74)
Morton et al., 2009(5)	63 (50-71)	7	0.63 (0.36, 1.07)
Lu et al., 2011(7)	63 (postmeno-<85)	12	1.30 (0.81, 2.10)
Cerhan et al., 2002(14)	62 (55–69)	12 -	1.00 (0.60, 2.00)
FL			
InterLymph(present study)	64 (22-88)	1	0.76 (0.58, 0.99)
Morton et al., 2009(5)	63 (50-71)	7	- 0.95 (0.59, 1.52)
Lu et al., 2011(7)	63 (postmeno<85)	12	1.41 (0.75, 2.66)
Lu el al., 2011(7)	62 (55-69)	12	3.30 (1.60, 6.90)

**Figure 1.** Associations between non-Hodgkin lymphoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma and current use of postmenopausal hormonal therapy reported in published studies. Current use of hormone therapy was reported at recruitment in cohort studies and a year before diagnosis/ reference date in case-control studies. Risk estimates are ordered by the maximum length of follow-up for cohort studies and latency period for case-control studies. NR, not reported; postmenopausal. Cohort studies are Cerhan et al. [24], Morton et al. [5], Lu et al. [7] and Cerhan et al. [14]. Case-control studies are InterLymph and Beiderbeck et al. [6].

more likely to have stopped where follow-up continued further from menopausal age.

In our data, whether oestrogen only or combined oestrogen and progestin therapy contributed to the reduced risk could not be examined directly as only one study collected this information. As an alternative, we investigated whether risks were different among women who had or not had a hysterectomy since from the late 1980s, unopposed oestrogen tended to be prescribed following a hysterectomy and combined therapy to menopausal women with an intact uterus [4]. Although we found no difference for NHL overall, decreased risks of DLBCL for hormonal therapy were found among women who had had a hysterectomy in particular, but also in those who had not. For FL, risks were reduced among women with an intact uterus and not among those who did not. Findings for the two formulations have been reported in two cohorts [5, 7] and one case-control study included here [10]. No associations with either therapy were found in the cohort studies [5, 7] while reduced risks were found for both therapies in the case-control study [10]. However, some

decreased risks were found in the cohort studies when hysterectomy data were considered in conjunction with treatment types [5, 7]. In the NIH-AARP cohort, women who had had a hysterectomy and were treated with unopposed oestrogen were at decreased risk of DLBCL while women with an intact uterus and on oestrogen plus progestin were not [5]. The California Teachers Study found that removal of both ovaries increased the risk of B-cell NHL possibly due to the absence of circulating hormones of ovarian origin, and when oestrogen only therapy was given to ovariectomized women, the treatment mediated the increased risk [7]. Hence, there appears to be some consistency between these findings [5, 7] and ours, but further investigation on treatment type is needed.

The mechanisms by which hormone therapy may act to reduce NHL risk among postmenopausal women are not known but may involve pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukins and tumour necrosis factor. As age increases, changes in immune function occur [3]. These alterations, which include increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, may be increased further in postmenopausal women

due to oestrogen deprivation, at least in the years soon after menopause [25]. Taking hormone therapy may normalize the immune response and decrease production of tumour necrosis factor and interleukin-6 [2, 3]. Such mechanisms may explain the lower NHL risk in postmenopausal women who take hormone therapy compared with those who are untreated, although the exact processes by which hormone therapy acts on the immune system and lymphomagenesis are unknown. We also found a reduced risk of DLBCL among hormone therapy users of normal weight, a finding that has not been reported before. Although adipose tissue is a major source of oestrogen in the postmenopausal period, being overweight may increase DLBCL risk [26, 27] and so other obesity-related alterations to immune function are likely to be involved.

Our studies, like others of similar design, have several limitations. First, the use of postmenopausal hormone therapy was self-reported, although evidence suggests that there is reasonable agreement with medical records [8, 28-31]. Secondly, the controls' hormone therapy use may not be typical of postmenopausal women in general. Data for comparison are lacking, but across case-control studies, it seems unlikely that there is systematic selection bias given that hormone therapy has also been associated with disease excess, most notably breast cancer [32]. Thirdly, we could not assess risks associated with the type of postmenopausal hormone therapy, route of administration and dose as most studies did not collect this information. Fourthly, our finding of a decreased risk with current use could be a consequence of cases stopping use as lymphoma ensues. However, 75% of cases who were using hormone therapy at 1 year before diagnosis were still using it at the time their lymphoma was diagnosed. Fifthly, some cases would have died soon after diagnosis or been too ill to be interviewed, while a minority of interviewed cases may have had another cancer before their NHL diagnosis. Whether these cases were more (or less) likely to have used hormone therapy than those who were interviewed or who did not have a previous cancer is uncertain. Sixthly, it is also not known whether cases were less likely than controls to have had menopausal symptoms or conditions such as osteoporosis which are treated with hormone therapy. Lastly, our findings may be a consequence of selection bias since our controls tended to be of higher socioeconomic status than cases. Women of higher socioeconomic status are more likely to take hormones to treat menopausal symptoms than those of lower, but nevertheless, our protective effect remained when risks were adjusted for socioeconomic status.

In this pooled analysis of individual data, we found protective associations between postmenopausal hormone therapy and NHL and its two most common subtypes, DLBCL and FL. Our findings suggest that current use may reduce NHL risk. An advantage of our data, as well as the large number of subjects, was the ability to study hormone therapy use up to the time of diagnosis. The implications of our findings are not the prevention of NHL by taking postmenopausal hormone therapy since its use can lead to the development of breast and endometrial cancers and cardiovascular disease. Rather, our study gives insight into the possible role of exogenous sex hormones on NHL aetiology.

#### funding

This work was supported by National Cancer Institute grant CA62006 (Connecticut); National Cancer Institute grants R01 CA92153 and P50 CA97274 (Mayo); National Institute of Health grants CA45614, CA89745, CA87014, CA143947 and CA150037 (UCSF); National Cancer Institute grant CA50850 (Los Angeles); the Canadian Cancer Society through the National Cancer Institute of Canada, the Canadian Institutes for Health Research and the Chan Sisters Foundation (British Columbia); Leukaemia and Lymphoma Research (UK); the National Research Council (CNR) Applied Project 'Clinical Applications of Oncological Research' and the Italian Association for Cancer Research (Northern Italy); the European Community (Europe Against Cancer Programme), the Lega Italiana per la Lotta Contro i Tumori and Italian Association for Research on Cancer (Italy); Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, Culture and Technology of Japan (HERPACC2). The study sponsors had no involvement in study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the paper for publication. EK is supported by the Leukaemia and Lymphoma Research, UK.

#### disclosure

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

#### references

- Smith A, Roman E, Howell D et al. The Haematological Malignancy Research Network (HMRN): a new information strategy for population based epidemiology and health service research. Br J Haematol 2010; 148: 739–753.
- 2. Straub RH. The complex role of estrogens in inflammation. Endocr Rev 2007; 28: 521–574.
- Gameiro CM, Romao F, Castelo-Branco C. Menopause and aging: changes in the immune system—a review. Maturitas 2010; 67: 316–320.
- 4. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Combined Estrogen—Progestogen Contraceptives and Combined Estrogen —Progestogen Menopausal Therapy (IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans), Vol. 91. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer. World Health Org and International Agency for Research on Cancer 2007.
- Morton LM, Wang SS, Richesson DA et al. Reproductive factors, exogenous hormone use and risk of lymphoid neoplasms among women in the National Institutes of Health-AARP Diet and Health Study Cohort. Int J Cancer 2009; 124: 2737–2743.
- Beiderbeck AB, Holly EA, Sturkenboom MC et al. No increased risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with steroids, estrogens and psychotropics (Netherlands). Cancer Causes Control 2003; 14: 639–644.
- Lu Y, Wang SS, Sullivan-Halley J et al. Oral contraceptives, menopausal hormone therapy use and risk of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the California Teachers Study. Int J Cancer 2011; 129: 974–982.
- Mildon KH, Ansell P, Roman E et al. Reproductive factors, menopausal hormone therapy, and risk of non-Hodgkin, diffuse large B-cell and follicular lymphomas: a UK case-control study. Cancer Causes Control 2010; 21: 2079–2083.
- Corrao G, Zambon A, Conti V et al. Menopause hormone replacement therapy and cancer risk: an Italian record linkage investigation. Ann Oncol 2008; 19: 150–155.
- Lee JS, Bracci PM, Holly EA. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma in women: reproductive factors and exogenous hormone use. Am J Epidemiol 2008; 168: 278–288.
- Norgaard M, Poulsen AH, Pedersen L et al. Use of postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy and risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a Danish populationbased cohort study. Br J Cancer 2006; 94: 1339–1341.

- 12. Altieri A, Gallus S, Franceschi S et al. Hormone replacement therapy and risk of lymphomas and myelomas. Eur J Cancer Prev 2004; 13: 349–351.
- Zhang Y, Holford TR, Leaderer B et al. Prior medical conditions and medication use and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in Connecticut United States women. Cancer Causes Control 2004; 15: 419–428.
- Cerhan JR, Vachon CM, Habermann TM et al. Hormone replacement therapy and risk of non-hodgkin lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2002; 11: 1466–1471.
- Nelson RA, Levine AM, Bernstein L. Reproductive factors and risk of intermediate- or high-grade B-Cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in women. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19: 1381–1387.
- Schiff D, Suman VJ, Yang P et al. Risk factors for primary central nervous system lymphoma: a case-control study. Cancer 1998; 82: 975–982.
- Bernstein L, Ross RK. Prior medication use and health history as risk factors for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: preliminary results from a case-control study in Los Angeles County. Cancer Res 1992; 52: 5510s–5515s.
- Tavani A, Pregnolato A, La Vecchia C et al. A case-control study of reproductive factors and risk of lymphomas and myelomas. Leuk Res 1997; 21: 885–888.
- Cerhan JR, Ansell SM, Fredericksen ZS et al. Genetic variation in 1253 immune and inflammation genes and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood 2007; 110: 4455–4463.
- 20. Spinelli JJ, Ng C, Weber JP et al. Organochlorines and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Int J Cancer 2007; 121: 2767–2775.
- Talamini R, Montella M, Crovatto M et al. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and hepatitis C virus: a case-control study from northern and southern Italy. Int J Cancer 2004; 110: 380–385.
- Suzuki T, Matsuo K, Ito H et al. A past history of gastric ulcers and Helicobacter pylori infection increase the risk of gastric malignant lymphoma. Carcinogenesis 2006; 27: 1391–1397.

- Morton LM, Turner JJ, Cerhan JR et al. Proposed classification of lymphoid neoplasms for epidemiologic research from the Pathology Working Group of the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium (InterLymph). Blood 2007; 110: 695–708.
- Cerhan JR, Wallace RB, Folsom AR et al. Medical history risk factors for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in older women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1997; 89: 314–318.
- Pfeilschifter J, Koditz R, Pfohl M et al. Changes in proinflammatory cytokine activity after menopause. Endocr Rev 2002; 23: 90–119.
- Willett EV, Morton LM, Hartge P et al. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma and obesity: a pooled analysis from the InterLymph Consortium. Int J Cancer 2008; 122: 2062–2070.
- Larsson SC, Wolk A. Body mass index and risk of non-Hodgkin's and Hodgkin's lymphoma: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Eur J Cancer 2011;47: 2422–2430.
- Banks E, Beral V, Cameron R et al. Agreement between general practice prescription data and self-reported use of hormone replacement therapy and treatment for various illnesses. J Epidemiol Biostat 2001; 6: 357–363.
- Kropp S, Terboven T, Hedicke J et al. Good agreement between physician and self-reported hormone therapy data in a case-control study. J Clin Epidemiol 2007; 60: 1280–1287.
- Lokkegaard EL, Johnsen SP, Heitmann BL et al. The validity of self-reported use of hormone replacement therapy among Danish nurses. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2004; 83: 476–481.
- Paganini-Hill A, Clark LJ. Comparison of patient recall of hormone therapy with physician records. Menopause 2007; 14: 230–234.
- 32. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Breast cancer and hormone replacement therapy: collaborative reanalysis of data from 51 epidemiological studies of 52,705 women with breast cancer and 108,411 women without breast cancer. Lancet 1997; 350: 1047–1059.

Annals of Oncology 24: 441–448, 2013 doi:10.1093/annonc/mds429 Published online 5 October 2012

# Examination of the follicular lymphoma international prognostic index (FLIPI) in the National LymphoCare study (NLCS): a prospective US patient cohort treated predominantly in community practices

A. K. Nooka<sup>1</sup>, C. Nabhan<sup>2</sup>, X. Zhou<sup>3</sup>, M. D. Taylor<sup>4</sup>, M. Byrtek<sup>4</sup>, T. P. Miller<sup>5</sup>, J. W. Friedberg<sup>6</sup>, A. D. Zelenetz<sup>7</sup>, B. K. Link<sup>8</sup>, J. R. Cerhan<sup>9</sup>, H. Dillon<sup>10</sup>, R. Sinha<sup>1</sup>, P. J. Shenoy<sup>1</sup>, D. Levy<sup>4</sup>, K. Dawson<sup>4</sup>, J. H. Hirata<sup>4</sup> & C. R. Flowers<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Winship Cancer Institute, Division of Hematology and Oncology, Emory University-School of Medicine, Atlanta; <sup>2</sup>Division of Hematology/Oncology, Advocate Lutheran General Hospital and Oncology Specialists, Park Ridge; <sup>3</sup>RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park; <sup>4</sup>Genentech, South San Francisco; <sup>5</sup>Section of Hematology/ Oncology, University of Arizona, Tucson; <sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, James P. Wilmont Cancer Center, Rochester; <sup>7</sup>Department of Medicine, Lymphoma Program, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York; <sup>8</sup>Oncology and Bone & Marrow Transplantation, Division of Hematology, University of Iowa, Iowa City; <sup>9</sup>Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic-College of Medicine, Rochester; <sup>10</sup>The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society, White Plains, USA

Received 15 March 2012; revised 16 July 2012; accepted 20 July 2012

**Background:** Because follicular lymphoma (FL) patients have heterogeneous outcomes, the FL international prognostic index (FLIPI) was developed to risk-stratify patients and to predict survival. However, limited data exist

© The Author 2012. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Dr A. K. Nooka, Winship Cancer Institute, Division of Hematology and Oncology, Emory University, 1365 Clifton Road NE, B5115, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. Tel: +1-404-778-0655; Fax: +1-404-778-5530; E-mail: anooka@emory.edu