## The **Breast** Journal

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## Are All Patients with Ductal Carcinoma In Situ of the Breast Candidates for Radiotherapy after Breast **Conservative Treatment? Institute of European Oncology Guidelines**

To the Editor:

At present, we know that adjuvant radiotherapy (RT) after breast-conserving surgery (BCS) reduces local recurrence (LR) rates by about 50% in patients with ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) of the breast, with no benefits on survival. Comparable reductions were seen for the rise of both invasive and noninvasive LR. Also, nonsignificant long-term toxicity from RT was found (1). This has been demonstrated by wellknown randomized trials as NSABP B-17 (2), UK-A-NZ (3), EORTC 10853 (4), SweDCIS (5), and recently confirmed by an update of the same studies (6).

But do all DCIS patients have to undergo RT after BCS? This question was answered with opposing opinions by Buchholz (positively) (7) and Silverstein (negatively) (8). The answer offered by the 2011 St Gallen Consensus Conference (9) was in the negative: most panelists considered that RT could be avoided in elderly women or in patients with low-grade DCIS and clearly negative margins.

On this last point, there is no agreement about the limits of safety related to the width of surgical margins (10) that vary from 10 mm to 1 mm, with intermediate measures of 3 mm, 2-3 mm and 2 mm. On the other hand, DCIS patients with G3 disease and necrosis are generally considered suitable for adjuvant RT, as both of them are associated with higher risk of recurrence (11). Major controversies are related to the need for RT in lower grade disease. Two prospective studies showed contradictory results: in the Dana-Faber Cancer Institute trial the omission of RT resulted in a cumulative LR rate of 12% at 5-year (12). Conversely, the EORTC 10850 trial showed a reduced

DOI: 10.1111/tbj.12295

© 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc., 1075-122X/14 The Breast Journal, Volume 20 Number 4, 2014 431–433

risk of LR rate in the G1 subgroup after surgery alone, less than 10%, but at a doubled follow-up time, 10 years (4).

Advances in molecular profiling analysis (13) along with the well-known clinical and pathologic parameters might help to improve risk stratification and decision-making. Several studies have investigated the prognostic significance of biomarkers, such as estrogen receptor status, HER2/neu expression, Ki-67-expression (14), but their validation is complex and needs to be tested prospectively in large cohorts of patients.

These previous data justify the still open debate regarding the practice of RT in patients with DCIS. The lack of shared opinions is confirmed if we examine the published surveys on the indications and utilization of RT after BCS. In the UK, patients were significantly more likely to have RT planned (and administered) if they had large (>15 mm), intermediate or high-grade tumors or if central comedo-type necrosis was present (15). In North America, there were strong correlations between the grade of DCIS and margin status and the use of RT (16). Recommendations are in favor of RT as grade increased (more than 97% in G3) and margin width decreased (more than 95% with <10 mm). There were substantial differences in opinion between North America and Europe, as well as in Europe itself, especially regarding low-grade and wide margin lesions, for which Europeans offered observations more often than Americans (56.9% versus 41.2%). Substantial differences by surgeons in surgical treatment, receipt of RT and margin status found by Dick et al. (17) emphasize the importance of the physicians in the quality of care of DCIS. The heterogeneity of the disease accounts for the heterogeneity of treatment and makes the perception of risk and the treatment choices challenging (18).

At the European Institute of Oncology (IEO), in Milan, for more than a decade RT was only administered to DCIS patients with G3, or G2 with comedo-type

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Gabriel Farante, European Institute of Oncology, Via Ripamonti 435, Milan 20141, Italy, or e-mail: gabriel.farante@ieo.it

necrosis (19), and not administered in cases of G1 neoplasia, or G2 without comedo-type necrosis. In these cases, treated by surgery alone, the observed LR rate was 8% at 6 years (20). Recently, other important cancer-related reports (9,21) also suggested that the same subgroups of DCIS patients could not be candidates for RT after BCS, even though the overview of the randomized trials confirmed a statistical benefit in terms of local control of adjuvant RT not related to histologic grade or presence of comedo-type necrosis (5).

Many other questions related to adjuvant RT in DCIS patients remain open, without a scientific answer. The first relates to the use of a boost RT dose after standard whole breast irradiation (22). A retrospective multicentric study showed that an RT boost dose decreases LR in patients <45 years of age at 10-year follow-up (23). Two multicentric randomized trials assessing the role of the boost are ongoing: the French trial evaluating the impact of a 16 Gy boost after 50 Gy to the whole breast in 25 fractions (Bonbis trial) (24) and the Trans-Tasman Radiation Oncology Group (TROG) investigating the role of the boost dose and of the altered fractionation (25). The latter trial is also designed to test the hypofractionation regimen to the whole breast. The use of large dose/fraction and reduced total dose have shown similar local control and toxicity compared to conventional regimen in invasive breast cancer. Preliminary reports suggest that the hypofractionated RT can be given to DCIS patients (26).

A further open question is related to the use of partial breast irradiation (PBI) in women with DCIS. The Consensus Statement from the American Society for Radiation Oncology (27) agreed that PBI outside a clinical trial can be used to treat small pure DCIS with caution, due to limited data available in the literature, although the American Society Breast Surgeons Registry Trial includes the largest published collection of DCIS patients treated with PBI. At 4.5-year median follow-up, it was possible to observe similar results in DCIS patients treated with PBI, when compared with DCIS patients treated with whole breast irradiation (28). On the other hand, ASTRO panellists strongly recommend the recruitment of patients, including those with DCIS, in the randomized phase III trial of PBI versus WBI (RTOG 0413/NSABP B-39).

In conclusion, the management of DCIS patients is still variable and widely debated. The scientific community is in increasing agreement that the same subsets of patients, with low risk disease (G1, and G2 tumors without comedo-type necrosis) can be candidates to active surveillance only after BCS. On the other hand, the standardization of the adjuvant RT, especially with respect to the role of boost dose and the PBI techniques, still remain an open question that warrants clarification by ongoing studies and new trials.

Gabriel Farante, MD, PhD\* Roberto Orecchia, MD<sup>†,‡</sup> Alberto Luini, MD\* Cristina Leonardi, MD<sup>†</sup> Stefano Zurrida, MD\*,<sup>‡</sup> Germana Lissidini, MD, PhD\* Vanesa Krakobsky, MD<sup>†</sup> Umberto Veronesi, MD<sup>§</sup> \*Division of Senology European Institute of Oncology Milan Italy; <sup>†</sup>Division of Radiotherapy European Institute of Oncology Milan Italy; <sup>‡</sup>School of Medicine University of Milan Milan Italy; and <sup>§</sup>Scientific Directorate of the European Institue of Oncology Milan Italy

## REFERENCES

1. Park CK, Li X, Starr J, *et al.* Cardiac Morbidity and mortality in women with ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast treated with breast conserving therapy. *Breast J* 2011;17:470–6.

2. Wapnir IL, Dignam JJ, Fisher B, *et al.* Long-term outcomes of invasive ipsilateral breast tumor recurrences after lumpectomy in NSABP B-17 and B-24 randomized clinical trials for DCIS. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011;103:478–88.

3. Cuzick J, Sestack I, Pinder SE, *et al.* Effect of tamoxifen and radiotherapy in women with locally excised ductal carcinoma in situ: long-term results from the UK/ANZ DCIS trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2011;12:21–9.

4. EORTC Breast Cancer Cooperative Group; EORTC Radiotherapy Group, Bijker N, *et al.* Breast-conserving treatment with or without radiotherapy in ductal carcinoma in situ: ten-year results of European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Randomized Phase III Trial 10853. A Study by the EORTC Breast Cancer Cooperative Group and EORTC Radiotheraphy Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:3381–7.

5. Emdin SO, Grandstrand B, Ringberg A, et al. SweDCIS: radiotherapy after sector resection for ductal carcinoma in situ of

the breast. Results of a randomized trial in a population offered mammography screening. *Acta Oncol* 2006;45:536–43.

6. Early Breast Cancer Trialist's Collaborative Group (EB-CTCG), Correa C, McGale P, *et al.* Overview of the randomized trials of radiotherapy in ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. *J Natl Inst Monogr* 2010;2010:162–77.

7. Buchholz T, Haffty B, Harris J. Should all patients undergo breast conserving therapy for DCIS receive radiation therapy? Yes. Radiation therapy, an important component of breast conserving treatment for patients with ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. *J Surg Oncol* 2007;95:610–3.

8. Silverstein M, Lagios M. Should all patients undergo breast conserving therapy for DCIS receive radiation therapy? No. One size does not fit all: an argument against the routine use of radiation therapy for all patients with ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast who elect breast conservation. *J Surg Oncol* 2007;95: 605–9.

9. Goldhirsch A, Wood WC, Coates AS, *et al.* Strategies for subtypes-dealing with the diversity of breast cancer: highlights of the St. Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2011. *Ann Oncol* 2011;22:1736–47.

10. Wang S-Y, Chu H, Shamliyan T, *et al.* Network meta-analysis of margin threshold for women with ductal carcinoma in situ. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2012;104:507–16.

11. Shaitelman SF, Wilkinson JB, Kestin LL, *et al.* Long-term outcome in patients with ductal carcinoma in situ treated with breast-conserving therapy: implications for optimal follow-up strategies. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;83:e305–12.

12. Wong JS, Kaelin CM, Troyan SL, *et al.* Prospective study of wide excision alone for ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:1031–6.

13. Clark SE, Warwick J, Carpenter R, *et al*. Molecular subtyping of DCIS: heterogeneity of breast cancer reflected in pre-invasive disease. *Br J Cancer* 2011;104:120–7.

14. Rakovitch E, Nofech-Mozes S, Hanna W, *et al.* HER2/neu and Ki-67 expression predict non-invasive recurrence following breast-conserving therapy for ductal carcinoma in situ. *Br J Cancer* 2012;106:1160–5.

15. Dodwell D, Clements K, Lawrence G, *et al.* Radiotherapy following breast-conserving surgery for screen-detected ductal carcinoma in situ: indications and utilisation in the UK. Interim findings from the Sloane Project. *Br J Cancer* 2007;97:725–9.

16. Ceilley E, Jagsi R, Goldberg S, *et al.* The management of ductal carcinoma in situ in North America and Europe. Results of a survey. *Cancer* 2004;101:1958–67.

17. Dick AW, Sorbero MS, Ahrendt GM, *et al.* Comparative effectiveness of ductal carcinoma in situ management and the roles of margins and surgeons. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2011;103:92–104.

18. Partridge AH, Elomore JG, Saslow D, *et al.* Challenges in ductal carcinoma in situ risk communication and decision-making. Report from an American Cancer society and National Cancer Institute Workshop. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2012;62:203–10.

19. Farante G, Zurrida S, Galimberti V, *et al.* The management of ductal intraepithelial neoplasia (DIN): open controversies and guidelines of the Istituto Europeo di Oncologia (IEO, Milan Italy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2011;128:369–78.

20. Guerrieri-Gonzaga A, Botteri E, Romentsz N, *et al.* Ductal intraepithelial neoplasia: postsurgical outcome for 1267 women cared in one single institution over 10 years. *Oncologist* 2009;14:201–12.

21. Motwani SB, Goyal S, Moran MS, *et al.* Ductal carcinoma in situ treated with breast-conserving surgery and radiotherapy: a comparison with ECOG Study 5194. *Cancer* 2011;117:1156–62.

22. Riou O, Lemanski C, Guillaumon V, *et al.* Role of the radiotherapy boost on local control in ductal carcinoma in situ. *Int J Surg Oncol* 2012:748196. doi:10.1155/2012/748196

23. Omlin A, Amichetti M, Azria D, *et al.* Boost radiotherapy in young women with DCIS: a multicentre, retrospective study of the Rare Cancer Network. *Lancet Oncol* 2006;7:652–6.

24. Azria D. Phase III randomized French trial multicentric study to evaluate the impact of a localized 16 Gy boost after conservative surgery and a 50 Gy whole-breast irradiation on breast ductal carcinoma in situ (the Bonbis trial). Proc 2011 ASCO Annual Meeting June 3-7. Abstract TPS131

25. Trans-Tasman Radiation Oncology Group (TROG). Radiation doses and fractionation schedules in non-low risk ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) of the breast. Available at: http://www.clinical trials-gov (accessed August 6, 2012).

26. Ciervide R, Dhage S, Guth A, et al. Five year outcome of 145 patients with ductal carcinoma in situ (DIC) after accelerated breast radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;83:e159–64.

27. Smith B, Douglas A, Buchholz TA, *et al.* Accelerated partial breast irradiation consensus statement from the American society for radiation oncology (ASTRO). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2009;74:987–1001.

28. Jeruss JS, Kuerer HM, Beitsch PD, *et al.* Update on DCIS outcomes from the American Society of Breast Surgeons Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation Registry Trial. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2011;18:65–71.